

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/605,485 10/02/2003 Jim Peron A3-1657 2484 **EXAMINER** 7590 03/22/2005 27127 HARTMAN & HARTMAN, P.C. TORRES, MELANIE **552 EAST 700 NORTH ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER VALPARAISO, IN 46383 3683

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summans	10/605,485	PERON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melanie Torres	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De	ecember 2004.	•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa		-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	 	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		d in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d d	
		~ •	
8 44 = 1, = 44 = 3			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PT()_413\	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)	
			_

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Objections

1. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: "lever" is misspelled in line 4 of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dugas.

Re claims 10-13 and 17-19 Dugas teaches wheelchair having a seat (38), multiple wheels (12), the braking devices comprising: a sensing lever (16) pivotably mounted to the wheelchair so that a first end of the sensing level is movable in upward and downward directions while contacting the seat of the wheelchair, the sensing lever comprising means for engaging a wheelchair seat (36), braking means (18) slidably mounted relative to the wheelchair for engaging one of the wheels of the wheelchair, means for biasing (50) the braking means into engagement with the one wheel of the wheelchair, means for interconnecting (16a) the sensing lever and the braking means, the interconnecting means causing the biasing means to bias the first end of the

Application/Control Number: 10/605,485 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

sensing lever in the upward direction, the interconnecting means causing the braking means to move out of engagement with the one wheel when the first end of the sensing lever is caused to move in the downward direction. However, Dugas does not teach having at least two braking devices. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a second brake since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-9 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 11-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not teach a ling pivotably connected at a first end thereof to the sensing lever and pivotably connected at a second end thereof to the braking means.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/605,485

Art Unit: 3683

7. Applicant's arguments filed December 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues wherein Dugas does not teach a "sensing lever comprising means for engaging a wheelchair seat" and that only the support member (36) engages the wheelchair seat. The examiner's position is that the sensing lever (16) engages the wheelchair seat (38) via the support member (36). Alternatively, "support member" can be interpreted as a portion of the claimed "seat" and would then be directly engaged to the lever (16).

Applicant further argues that the "interconnecting means" (16a) of Dugas cannot be interpreted as the claimed "interconnecting means" because there is no interconnection between the sensing lever (16) and the braking means (18). However, as defined by the claim language (last paragraph of claim 10), the pin (16a) functions as claimed. Without the "interconnection" of the pin, the sensing lever and braking means would not function. Further, the cavity (22) of Dugas is also capable of being the claimed "interconnecting means."

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/605,485

Art Unit: 3683

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Torres whose telephone number is (703)305-0293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703)308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MT March 16, 2005 Milarie Serres 3/16/05

Page 5